Blood Parasites in Owls with Conservation Implications for the Spotted Owl (Strix occidentalis)
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Abstract

The three subspecies of Spotted Owl (Northern, Strix occidentalis caurina; California, S. o. occidentalis; and Mexican, S. o. lucida) are all threatened by habitat loss and range expansion of the Barred Owl (S. varia). An unaddressed threat is whether Barred Owls could be a source of novel strains of disease such as avian malaria (Plasmodium spp.) or other blood parasites potentially harmful for Spotted Owls. Although Barred Owls commonly harbor Plasmodium infections, these parasites have not been documented in the Spotted Owl. We screened 111 Spotted Owls, 44 Barred Owls, and 387 owls of nine other species for haemosporidian parasites (Leucocytozoon, Plasmodium, and Haemoproteus spp.). California Spotted Owls had the greatest number of simultaneous multi-species infections (44%). Additionally, sequencing results revealed that the Northern and California Spotted Owl subspecies together had the highest number of Leucocytozoon parasite lineages (n = 17) and unique lineages (n = 12). This high level of sequence diversity is significant because only one Leucocytozoon species (L. danilewskyi) has been accepted as valid among all owls, suggesting that L. danilewskyi is a cryptic species. Furthermore, a Plasmodium parasite was documented in a Northern Spotted Owl for the first time. West Coast Barred Owls had a lower prevalence of infection (15%) when compared to sympatric Spotted Owls (S. o. caurina 52%, S. o. occidentalis 79%) and Barred Owls from the historic range (61%). Consequently, Barred Owls on the West Coast may have a competitive advantage over the potentially immune compromised Spotted Owls.

Introduction

Emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) affecting wildlife appear to be increasing in number and have led to localized decreases in population sizes and species extinctions [1–4]. Wildlife diseases are especially relevant today due to the potential of zoonotics such as West Nile Virus, HIV, and H5N1 avian influenza [5–7]. EIDs are facilitated by the movement of vectors and pathogens due to environmental alterations caused by climate change and human impacts (e.g. deforestation or the introduction of invasive species) [8]. Diseases are also pertinent to conservation biology because endangered or threatened species may be pushed to extinction due to direct mortality or indirectly by a reduced reproductive success [9,10].

The Spotted Owl (Strix occidentalis) is threatened not only by habitat loss, but also an invasive owl species. All three subspecies of the Spotted Owl (Northern, S. o. caurina; California, S. o. occidentalis; and Mexican, S. o. lucida) have been the focus of intense conservation efforts. This study focuses on the Northern and California Spotted Owls since they are the two subspecies currently most impacted by the Barred Owl (Strix varia) range expansion [11–15].

Over the past fifty years, Barred Owls have expanded their historic range in the eastern United States by crossing through the southwestern region of Canada and moving down the Pacific Coast of Canada and the U.S. [11]. Barred Owls began their range expansion in British Columbia in 1943, and by 2007, were a prime reason for near extirpation of Spotted Owls in the province [16,17]. In the United States, Barred Owls were first recorded in Washington in 1965, in Oregon in 1974 [18], and in California in 1981 [16]. Presently, they continue to move south, increasing in population size throughout the Spotted Owl range [11,19]. Barred Owls pose a threat to Spotted Owls because they are more aggressive than Spotted Owls and compete for food and nesting resources [11,20]. Expansion of Barred Owls may have additional adverse effects on Spotted Owl populations if they introduce novel infectious diseases.

Over two decades of intense research on Spotted Owls has made them one of the most studied birds in the world. However, little is known about their blood parasites or disease threat [15,21–23]. In one of only two studies of blood parasites in Spotted Owls, Gutiérrez (1989) showed that every Spotted Owl tested had at least one blood parasite (Leucocytozoon or Haemoproteus spp.) and 79% had simultaneous multi-species infections [19, Table 1]. Interestingly,
neither study by Greiner (n = 1 individual) or Gutiérrez (n = 105) recorded Plasmodium infections in the Spotted Owl [19,22].

At least nine studies have analyzed blood parasites in one or more Barred Owls (n = 64; Table 1) [24–32]. From these studies, 43 of 64 (67%) Barred Owls examined were infected with at least one blood parasite. There were seven documented cases of Plasmodium infections (Table 1) including discovery of a new taxonomically distinct Plasmodium species (subgenus Novella) [25]. Telford et al. (1997), found Barred Owls had longer and wider asexually dividing cells (a.k.a. schizonts) of Plasmodium than seven other raptor species living in Florida, suggesting that they might have a morphologically distinct Plasmodium strain [28].

Nine morphologically distinct haemosporidian blood parasites (order Haemosporida) have been recorded in owls: Haemoproteus noctuae, H. syrni, Plasmodium subpraecox, P. fallax, P. foresti, P. gundersi, P. hexamerum, P. elongatum, and one species of Leucocytozoon, L. danilewskyi (= L. ziemannii) [33]. While the concept of a species is currently in flux, there is evidence of cryptic speciation of haemosporidian blood parasites from genetic sequencing [34–36]. There is also evidence for genetic variation in the cytochrome b gene within microscopically-defined morphospecies [37,38]. Plasmodium, Haemoproteus, and Leucocytozoon spp. are spread to avian hosts via insect vectors, mosquitoes (Culicidae), biting midges (Ceratopogonidae), hippoboscid flies (Hippoboscidae), and black flies (Simulidae), respectively [33]. In general, Plasmodium spp. are thought to be more pathogenic than Haemoproteus or Leucocytozoon because they display a lower degree of host specificity, and cause a more severe blood pathology [33,39–41]. However, numerous species of Leucocytozoon and some hemoproteids also cause disease in birds [33,42–44]; thus different species of haemosporidian parasites can have differing effects on avian hosts.

Blood parasites are indicators of immune quality in birds, and parasite prevalence data can be used to reveal information about individual and population fitness [45–48]. Many species of blood parasites are generally thought to be harmless because they appear in otherwise healthy looking birds [45]. However, research has shown that blood parasites can have negative fitness impacts on the host [47–53]. Parasites can be pathogenic during energy-demanding or stressful phases of a host’s life such as the first year [49,50], migration [51,52], breeding [46,53,54], and years of low food abundance [54,55]. Research has also shown that parasitic infections can negatively impact reproductive success by delaying arrival to the breeding grounds [56], reducing clutch sizes [47,54,57], reducing nest defense behavior [58,59], increasing probability of clutch desertion [60], reducing hatching success [47,60], reducing fledging success [47], and siring nestlings with poorer body condition [57]. From a global perspective, cumulative effects of blood parasites on individuals can have serious consequences on host populations [45]. Blood parasites can also be extremely virulent when introduced to an immunologically naive species [4,33,43,44].

We surveyed haemosporidian parasites from the blood of twelve western North American owl species using PCR and DNA sequencing techniques. We examined the phylogenetic relationships, host specificities, and distributions among hosts with the intention to determine whether Barred Owls may be the source of novel parasites to Spotted Owl populations on the West Coast of North America. We also studied blood samples from Europe and Africa to help elucidate phylogeographic relationships of haemosporidian parasites in owls.

Results

Prevalence of Blood Parasites

Five hundred forty two individuals (317 belonging to the Strigidae, and 225 belonging to the Tytonidae) from twelve owl species were tested for Plasmodium, Haemoproteus, and Leucocytozoon spp. parasites (Table 2) using PCR techniques. In the Strigidae family, the overall prevalence of infection was 62% (n = 197), while 24% (n = 54) of the Tytonidae family had at least one blood parasite infection. Prevalences for the three haemosporidian parasites varied within and between families.

PCR and microscopy techniques indicated blood parasite prevalences ranged from zero in Barn Owls from Denmark (n = 45), to 100% in the African owls. The African owls (n = 3) had at least three blood parasite species identified by blood smear analysis. One individual Sjöstedt’s Owlet (Glaucidium sjostedti) was infected with five morphologically distinct haemosporidians (Leucocytozoon danilewskyi, Haemoproteus noctuae, Haemoproteus syrni, Plasmodium (subgenus Haemamoeba) sp., and Plasmodium (subgenus Giovanniella) sp.).

Prevalences also varied between Spotted Owl subspecies in this study. The California Spotted Owl was significantly more likely to be infected with a blood parasites (p = 0.007; \( \chi^2 = 7.36 \)) and also has significantly more multiple infections (p = 0.002; \( \chi^2 = 9.18 \)) than the Northern Spotted Owl. When compared to owls belonging to the same taxonomic family (Strigidae total numbers from Table 2), the California Spotted Owl and Northern Spotted Owls had significantly more Haemoproteus infections (S. o. occidentalis p = 0.0001, \( \chi^2 = 30.83 \); S. o. caurina p = 0.0001, \( \chi^2 = 15.36 \)), and the California Spotted Owl had significantly less Plasmodium infections (p = 0.05; \( \chi^2 = 3.77 \)). Even though the Northern Spotted Owl prevalence was also low (n = 1), it was not significantly different from the other Strigidae owls. However, finding one individual infected with a Plasmodium infection is significant because it is the first documentation of Spotted Owls with this parasite.

Northern Spotted Owl samples were further tested for change in blood parasite prevalence between ten-year time spans (1994–1996 to 2004–2005) but no statistical differences were found.

| Table 1. Previous research on Spotted and Barred Owl haematozoa |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Species          | n | ≠ infected | L | H | P | Citation |
| Strix occidentalis | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| S. a. occidentalis | 76 | 76 | 71 | 67 | 0 | 21 |
| S. a. caurina     | 22 | 22 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 21 |
| S. a. lucida      | 7  | 7  | 4  | 3  | 0 | 21 |
| Total             | 106 | 106 | 97 | 82 | 0 | 2 |
| Strix varia       | 54 | 3  | n/a | n/a | 3 | 28 |
| Strix varia       | 28 | 19 | 0  | 19* | 3 | 31 |
| Strix varia       | 21 | 19 | 19 | 2  | 0 | 29 |
| Strix varia       | 5  | 1  | 0  | 1  | 0 | 26 |
| Strix varia       | 4  | 3  | 3  | 2  | 3 | 24 |
| Strix varia       | 3  | 2  | 2  | 1  | 2 | 27** |
| Strix varia       | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  | 0 | 26 |
| Strix varia       | 1  | 1  | n/a | n/a | 1 | 25 |
| Strix varia       | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 32 |
| Total             | 64 | 42 | 22 | 24 | 6 | 6 |

L = Leucocytozoon, H = Haemoproteus, P = Plasmodium

This number does not account for multiple Haemoproteus species.

Multiple infections were found.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002304.t001
of California subspecies) than Barred Owls on the West Coast (15%).

Prevalence of blood parasites (52% in Northern subspecies, 79% in Barred Owl results were divided into two subgroups to examine potential geographic differences (CA, OR, WA vs MN, WI, TX; Table 2). Barred Owls in the historic eastern range had significantly more infections (p = 0.001; $\chi^2 = 12.36$) and significantly more Plasmodium infections (p = 0.05; $\chi^2 = 4.70$) than the Barred Owls on the west coast. The blood parasite prevalence also differed between western Barred Owls and Spotted Owls. Spotted Owls had a higher prevalence of blood parasites (52% in Northern subspecies, 79% in California subspecies) than Barred Owls on the West Coast (15%). These sympatric Barred Owls had significantly lower prevalences (15%) of blood parasites (52% in Northern subspecies, 79% in Barred Owls, however, did have significantly higher Plasmodium spp. prevalences (p = 0.05; $\chi^2 = 4.17$), but the differences in Plasmodium prevalence were more pronounced when comparing all Spotted Owls with all Barred Owls sampled (p = 0.001; $\chi^2 = 14.38$) (see Table 2).

Table 2. Prevalence of haematozoa infections in Strigiformes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>*Code</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>I (%)</th>
<th>MI (%)</th>
<th>L (%)</th>
<th>H (%)</th>
<th>P (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strigidae</td>
<td>Aegolius funereus</td>
<td>Boreal Owl</td>
<td>BOOW</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asio otus</td>
<td>Long-eared Owl</td>
<td>LEOW</td>
<td>CA, Lithuania</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23 (82)</td>
<td>10 (36)</td>
<td>22 (79)</td>
<td>10 (36)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Athene cuniculana</td>
<td>Burrowing Owl</td>
<td>BUOW</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bubo virginianus</td>
<td>Great-horned Owl</td>
<td>GHOW</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>34 (63)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>34 (63)</td>
<td>6 (11)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glaucidium sjostedi</td>
<td>Sjostedi Owl</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Megascops kennicottii</td>
<td>Western Screech Owl</td>
<td>WSEO</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>45 (85)</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
<td>42 (79)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>15 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Otus scops</td>
<td>Scops Owl</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strix aluco</td>
<td>Tawny Owl</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strix a. caurina</td>
<td>Spotted Owl, Northern</td>
<td>SPOWno</td>
<td>CA, OR, WA</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>33 (52)</td>
<td>10 (16)</td>
<td>25 (40)</td>
<td>16 (25)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strix a. occidentalis</td>
<td>Spotted Owl, California</td>
<td>SPOWca</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>38 (79)</td>
<td>21 (44)</td>
<td>29 (60)</td>
<td>30 (63)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strix varia**</td>
<td>Barred Owl</td>
<td>BDOW</td>
<td>MN, WI, TX</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11 (61)</td>
<td>2 (11)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
<td>6 (33)</td>
<td>6 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S. o. and S. v. hybrid</td>
<td>Spotted/Barred hybrid</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strix woodfordii</td>
<td>African Wood Owl</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tytonidae</td>
<td>Tyto alba pratincola</td>
<td>Barn Owl</td>
<td>BNOW</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>54 (30)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>54 (30)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tyto alba guttata</td>
<td>German Barn Owl</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>317</td>
<td>197 (62)</td>
<td>66 (21)</td>
<td>166 (52)</td>
<td>72 (23)</td>
<td>30 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Tytonidae    | Tyto alba pratincola| Barn Owl       | BNOW      | CA                | 225| 54 (24)| 3 (1) | 54 (24)| 3 (1) | 0 (0) |

Table 3. Prevalence of blood parasites in Northern Spotted Owls collected over ten years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Collected</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th># infected (%)</th>
<th>L (%)</th>
<th>H (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994–1996</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>16 (43.8)</td>
<td>7 (43.8)</td>
<td>2 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>6 (50)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>22 (45.5)</td>
<td>10 (45.5)</td>
<td>3 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>3 (42.8)</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>21 (61.9)</td>
<td>6 (28.6)</td>
<td>8 (28.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 (57)</td>
<td>8 (28.6)</td>
<td>9 (32.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p = Infected, MI = multiple infections, L = Leucocytozoon, H = Haemoproteus, P = Plasmodium

DNA Sequencing and Host-Parasite Relationships

Leucocytozoon. The Leucocytozoon dataset consisted of 623 base pairs of the mitochondrial cytochrome b gene sequenced from 181 owls among eleven species. There were 38 lineages found (including two outgroups) with 219 variable characters and 124 parsimony informative characters. The maximum likelihood heuristic search for the Leucocytozoon analysis yielded 34 trees which varied only at the branch tips (Figure 1).

The likelihood tree revealed two Leucocytozoon clades (1 & 2) with relatively high sequence divergence (uncorrected p = 8.05%). The presence of two distinct clades suggests that L. danilewskyi is a cryptic species. A side by side blood smear analysis of Leucocytozoon from blood parasites within Boreal Owls from both clades showed identical morphologies. However, the Barn Owls, which were only found in the first clade, only had the round morph gametocytes of Leucocytozoon present in the blood smears. Typically, elongated and round morph gametocytes develop in Leucocytozoon danilewskyi infections, and they are frequently present simultaneously. In summary, although the morphology of L. danilewskyi appears identical in all blood smears, the mtDNA reveals that there are many different haplotypes/lineages and high genetic divergence, perhaps justifying reclassifying L. danilewskyi into two or more species or subspecies.

The Strigidae family appears to be susceptible to parasites from both clades of Leucocytozoon spp (clade 1 & 2, Figure 1). The Tytonidae (Barn Owls) only had parasite strains from clade 1.
first *Leucocytozoon* clade has eight haplotypes (lineages) with relatively little variation (uncorrected p = 1.2%). The second Strigidae clade has 28 lineages with an average of 3.7% variation.

Host specificity for *Leucocytozoon* spp. appears to differ among owl species. The EcoSim rarefaction species richness test on Barn, Western Screech, Spotted, and Great-horned Owls showed that lineage diversity from 30 randomly selected owls for 1000 replications resulted in a low mean diversity for Western Screech (2.65) and Barn Owls (1.99) and a high mean lineage diversity for Spotted Owls (14.99) and Great-horned (14) (Figure 2). Barn Owls and Western Screech Owls appear to have very specific *Leucocytozoon* strains each with one dominant lineage and one or two less common lineages. In contrast, Spotted Owls and Great-horned Owls showed low specificity and were infected with many different parasite lineages. In addition, we found that Spotted and Great-horned Owls had many unique lineages defined as haplotypes that were not found in any other owl species (Spotted Owls = 12 unique out of 17 total lineages; Great-horned Owls = 10 unique out of 14 total lineages).

**Plasmodium and Haemoproteus spp.** 433 base pairs were sequenced from 106 individuals among 10 owl species. There were 21 unique lineages (10 *Plasmodium* and 11 *Haemoproteus*) found with 139 variable sites and 100 parsimony-informative sites. The maximum likelihood heuristic search yielded one tree for *Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus* spp. from ten species of owl (Figure 3).

In Figure 3, there appears to be four distinct lineages or possible species of *Plasmodium* present in these owls. One of the *Plasmodium* species from a Barred Owl can be identified as *P. elongatum* because it is an identical match to a sequence identified from GenBank...
The other three possible species could only be identified to the genus *Plasmodium* due to the poor quality of available blood smears. Sequence data identified one Northern Spotted Owl with a *Plasmodium* parasite. The sequence differs by only one base change from a parasite isolated from one invasive Barred Owl but also other native California owls (3 Great-horned Owls, and 13 Western Screech Owls).

In the *Haemoproteus* clade, one dominant lineage (n = 55) was isolated from four owl species (Spotted Owl, Barred Owl, Great-horned Owl, and African Wood Owl) and from North America and Africa. Additionally, Long-eared Owls from North America and Europe shared an identical *Haemoproteus* strain. The global commonality of blood parasites within these owls provides evidence that these blood parasites have no distinct phylogeographic pattern. Also, Barn Owls appear to have a unique *Haemoproteus* lineage although the prevalence of this parasite in the population remains low (1.3%).

**Discussion**

**Prevalence of Blood Parasite**

The prevalence of blood parasites varied highly among owl species. The lowest prevalences were documented in Barn Owls from Denmark. Four previous studies from northern Europe also detected no blood parasites in Barn Owls (n = 26) [61–66]. This suggests that the habitats of Barn Owls in Denmark and other Northern European countries are less suitable for blood parasite vectors [67]. The African owls, in contrast, came from forested habitats with a diverse vector fauna resulting in high prevalences and a high number of co-infections; up to five different haemosporidian species in one individual [68].

The number of Spotted Owls found with a blood parasite (*S. o. caurina* = 52% and *S. o. occidentalis* 79%) in this study, although high, is significantly lower than the 100% prevalence seen in Gutiérrez’s (1989) study (p = 0.001; χ² = 59.39). The Northern Spotted Owl prevalence of all haemosporidians over a ten year time span (Table 3) shows that the blood parasite prevalences compared between the years 1994–1996 and 2004–2005 were not statistically different. Therefore, the differences between this study and Gutiérrez’s (1989) results may not represent a decline in blood parasite infections over time, but may have been due to other reasons such as differing blood parasite detection methods. This study is the first to use PCR based detection of blood parasites in Spotted Owls, which has been proven to yield much greater sensitivity than older microscopy techniques [69]. Yet, a recent study found although PCR assays were sufficient in finding single parasite infections, it may underestimate cases with multiple parasite infections [46].

Another difference between our study and Gutierrez’s is the sampling locations. The 1989 study examined California Spotted Owls from four different locations (Sierra Nevada, San Jacinto Mountains, San Bernardino Mountains, and Palomar Mountains), and the Northern Spotted Owl samples were from northwestern California [19]. The California Spotted Owls in this study came from one Sierra Nevada location, and the Northern Spotted Owls came from California locations as well as Oregon and Washington. Other factors that could contribute to the differing prevalence rates include time of year the owls were sampled, annual variations, age of host [70], and environmental conditions [71].
In a broad sense, the variation in blood parasite prevalences observed between host species and geographic locations in Table 2 could be partially explained by differential exposure to vectors [33,72–74]. Prevalence of a parasite in a host can reflect the amount of contact with a vector; a species with more exposure to vectors should have higher prevalence rates than a species with less exposure [33]. Increased vector exposure leading to increased parasite risks are associated with tropical zones [73], forest habitats [67], migration [73], colonial behavior [76], body size [77], cavity nesting [76], shortened period of embryonic growth [76], and bright plumage coloration [77].

In contrast, research has shown that even taxonomically related bird species sharing similar habitat types may have varying blood parasite prevalences due to differing life histories or vector preferences [72,73,76]. For example, black flies and mosquitoes will feed preferentially on certain hosts [79–81]. However, one would still anticipate that Spotted Owls and Barred Owls living in sympathy to have comparable infection rates. Our prediction was that these two owl species would have high blood parasite prevalence rates since they share many qualities that would increase vector exposure such as large body size, long life span, cavity nesting, long fledging period, and habitation of forested areas [33,73,76]. Spotted and Barred Owls should also have similar prevalences because they are closely-related sister taxa, sharing similar habitats, life histories, relative body size, and plumage coloration [11]. Contradictory to expectations, we found that Barred Owls on the West Coast have significantly lower prevalences for Leucocytozoon, Haemoproteus, and fewer cases of simultaneous multiple infections than both subspecies of Spotted Owls. The following three hypotheses can account for differences in Barred Owl and Spotted Owl parasite prevalence: 1) dissimilar micro-habitat preferences leading to differential vector exposure, 2) the West Coast region has a different parasite fauna as compared to the historic Barred Owl range, and in particular fewer Plasmodium parasites 3) Barred Owl may have superior immune health. We consider each of these hypotheses below.

First, although Barred Owls and Spotted Owls have overlapping habitat requirements, Barred Owls occupy a wider range of habitats [11]. Barred Owls on the West Coast have been shown to prefer riparian habitats, and unlike Spotted Owls, they can utilize young and mature forests for foraging and breeding [11,82]. Because of this, it is plausible that their preferred micro-habitats results in differential vector contact, which would cause differing blood parasite prevalences [33].

Secondly, the lower overall prevalence of blood parasites for Barred Owls on the West Coast may be due to less exposure to Plasmodium. Another study on blood parasite prevalence did not discover any Plasmodium parasites from hawks and owls from the California Raptor Center (n = 55) in Davis, California [83]. We observed that two of 26 Barred Owls (8%) were infected with Plasmodium on the West Coast as compared to 6 individuals out of 11 (33%) from the historic range. This suggests that the Barred Owls are susceptible, but show less prevalence of Plasmodium spp. in some regions of the western U.S. Perhaps a low abundance or lack of appropriate mosquito vectors in the northern Western Coast region could be preventing the spread of Plasmodium parasites. This theory would also explain why Spotted Owls have not been documented with Plasmodium spp. With a lower risk of Plasmodium infections in this area, Barred Owls could have a competitive advantage over Spotted Owls.

Finally, even if Plasmodium parasites are rare in the West, Barred Owls should have similar exposure to Leucocytozoon and Haemoproteus. Instead, the Barred Owls have low parasite prevalences for parasites of all three genera, and the observed discrepancy between Barred and Spotted Owl prevalences could be explained by a better host immune response to the parasites. Furthermore, molecular evidence shows that Northern Spotted Owls have recently experienced a population bottleneck resulting in a loss of genetic variation [84]. This loss of genetic variability may play a role in a reduced ability to cope with blood parasite infections resulting in a weakened immune health.

Symptoms of blood parasite infections can range from mild to severe depending on host susceptibility [85], host fitness status at the time of infection (e.g., nutritional health or reproductive effort) [48,53], parasite and host genetics [56], acquired immunity [87], and environmental stress [71]. Although this study did not clinically assess symptoms or measure the intensity of parasitism, we can still assume a higher immune cost associated with multiple parasite infections. In cases of multiple infections, more of the host’s resources are being exploited, which increases virulence or severity of the disease [76]. In an extreme example, second year Purple Martins (P. subis) had multiple infections of Haemoproteus spp. and a filarial nematode resulted in a 90% fatality rate [88]. The sheer number of Spotted Owls with multiple parasite infections supports the notion that the Spotted Owls have weakened immune systems.

DNA Sequencing and Host-Parasite Relationships

The Leucocytozoon parasites found in eleven owl species studied appear to comprise at least two cryptic species or subspecies. Only one Leucocytozoon species (L. danielae) has been accepted as valid among all owls, and in this study, Leucocytozoon parasites examined microscopically were morphologically indistinguishable (although slide quality was often poor). Yet, there are two distinctly different clades with an average uncorrected p sequence divergence of 8.05%. Recent studies of Haemoproteus morphospecies suggest that greater than 5% sequence divergence in the cytochrome b gene between two lineages is indicative of distinct species [37]. In addition, intraspecific variation is likely to be apparent in Leucocytozoon species of owls, as was shown with L. schoutedeni of chickens, and in other haemosporidians [34,38]. Thus, even though Leucocytozoon danielae was traditionally thought to be one species, sequencing revealed that it has more than double the number of lineages (n = 36) than Haemoproteus (n = 11) and Plasmodium (n = 10) parasites in owls. This diversity is probably attributable to a combination of cryptic speciation and intraspecific variation.

Spotted Owls have high lineage diversity with the most Leucocytozoon lineages (n = 17) compared to the other owl species, and also 12 lineages that were unique, found only in Spotted Owls hosts. In theory, when a parasite strain has coevolved with a specific host, the parasite imposes a lessened effect on host fitness [89,90]. Likewise, parasites that are not constrained to a specific host are thought to be more virulent. This has been documented in Plasmodium species [43,44,91]. The data suggest that Leucocytozoon spp. have low host specificity for Spotted Owls, which implies that Spotted Owls have an increased risk of infection by novel parasites with potentially increased virulence.

Although, this is the first study to show a Spotted Owl infected with a Plasmodium parasite, there is no conclusive evidence that this parasite originated from Barred Owls since it was also found in other native California owls, and may have already been present at low levels in local bird populations. This study would benefit from larger sample sizes for Barred Owls and Spotted Owls to better determine whether Barred Owls have introduced novel blood parasites. Future work should focus on the combination of blood parasite analysis along with immunity tests and estimated annual survival and reproductive rate for banded Spotted Owls.
Utilization of immunity tests and survival estimates would give researchers a better understanding of the effect of blood parasites in the Spotted Owl, and perhaps help determine if some parasite strains are more virulent than others. Additionally, museum specimens of Spotted Owls and other California owls should be tested for blood parasites to see if species of *Plasmodium* have been in this area historically, and to see if Spotted Owls have always had high *Leucocytozoon* lineage diversity.

This study provides a baseline for the distribution of blood parasites and strains in owls. This research suggests that Northern and California Spotted Owls have a fragile immune health due to the high numbers of multiple parasite infections, the possible introduction of *Plasmodium*, and their low parasite specificity. Additionally, Barred Owls have only recently begun to colonize the Sierra Nevada and further research on this population of California Spotted Owls and Mexican Spotted Owls prior to invasion could help reveal the Barred Owl’s role in spreading disease and whether or not they contribute to a decline in Spotted Owl immune health. Overall, results are important as conservation measures are planned for all three subspecies of the Spotted Owl.

**Methods**

Blood, DNA, and liver tissue samples (n = 542) were donated by eight organizations (Table 4). Blood samples donated from rehabilitation centers were taken from owls when they were first submitted to the clinic. Samples collected from wild birds were taken from apparently healthy individuals that were banded and then released. All blood samples were collected from the years 2003 to 2006. Unfortunately for some of the Northern Spotted Owls (1 from 1992, 15 from 1994–1995, 5 from 1996, 4 from 2000, 28 from 2004–2005, and 9 unknown dates) and Barred Owls (7 from 1990–1994, 2 from 2001, 22 from 2003–2006, and 13 unknown dates) collected by S. Haig. Most blood parasite analyses were performed on birds sampled during peak transmission times in spring and summer (n = 349 April to September), while 76 were sampled during the autumn and winter months (Oct–Mar), and 117 samples did not have a date of capture.

The blood and liver samples (50–100 ul) were stored in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCL pH 8.0, 100mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 2% sodium dodecyl sulphate) and kept frozen in a −20°C freezer. However, one set of blood samples from the Zoological Museum of Copenhagen (n = 45) were not stored in lysis buffer and were kept in −80°C freezers. In some cases, blood smears were also made, fixed in methanol, and stained with Giemsa [92,93]. Since many blood samples were donated to this study after the bird had been sampled, we do not have blood smears for every sample.

**Blood Parasite Detection**

DNA was extracted using a DNeasy kit and following the animal tissue protocol (Qiagen). To test for *Leucocytozoon* spp., extracted DNA was used in a nested PCR reaction that amplifies the cytochrome *b* region of the mtDNA. The first round of amplification used the following primers: DW2: 5’-TAA TGC CTA GAC GTA TTC TCTG ATT ATC CAG-3’ and DW4: 5’-TGT TTG CTT GGG AGC TGT AAT CAT AAT GTG-3’ [35]. The first PCR reaction was performed using the following conditions: twenty-five-microliter reaction mixtures contained 10–100 ng of genomic DNA (2 ml of template DNA), 0.5 units of Qiagen Taq DNA Polymerase (Qiagen), 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, 3.0 mM MgCl2, 0.4 mM of each primer, 0.4 mM of each dNTP, and 5 ml of Q buffer (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, California). The cycling profile consisted of an initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C denaturation for 30 sec, 52°C annealing for 30 sec, and 72°C extension for 1 min. The samples went through a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The second PCR reaction used the first PCR product to seed the reaction instead of DNA template with the following primers: Leuco Cyt F: 5’-TCT TAC TGG TAT ATT ATT CAC AAC-3’, and Leuco Cyt R: 5’-AGC ATA GAA TTG GCA AAT AAA CC-3’ [34]. The reaction conditions using the second primer set was identical to the first round and used a similar cycling profile with a 50°C annealing temperature.

For *Plasmodium* and *Haemoproteus* spp., we used the same PCR reaction conditions as above with the following primers: L15183: 5’-GTG CAA CYG TTA TTA CTA ATT TAT A-3’ and H15730: 5’-CAT CCA ATC CAT AAT AAA GCA T-3’ [94,95]. The cycling profile consisted of an initial denaturing at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 50 sec, 53°C annealing for 50 sec, and 72°C extension for 60 sec, and then a final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

Positive and negative controls were used for the detection of *Plasmodium*, *Haemoproteus*, and *Leucocytozoon* spp. Positive controls were from birds with known infections evident from microscopy results. Negative controls used purified water in place of DNA template. PCR products were run out on a 1.8% agarose gel using 1xTBE, and visualized by an ethidium bromide stain under ultraviolet light. Some owl samples with good quality blood smears

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Sample Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lindsay Wildlife Museum (Walnut Creek, CA)</td>
<td>USA (CA)</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School of Veterinary Medicine University of CA (Davis, CA)</td>
<td>USA (CA)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA Forest Service (Davis, CA)</td>
<td>USA (CA)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungry Owl Project (San Francisco, CA)</td>
<td>USA (CA)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Academy of Science (San Francisco, CA)</td>
<td>USA (CA, OR)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States Geological Survey (Corvallis, OR)</td>
<td>USA (CA, OR, WA, TX, WI)</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Raptor Center (St. Paul, MN)</td>
<td>USA (MN)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoological Museum at the University of Copenhagen (Denmark)</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Tropical Research (Los Angeles, CA)</td>
<td>Cameroon, Lithuania</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Academy of Sciences (Rybachy, Russia)</td>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>blood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0002304.t004
were viewed under a microscope for further parasite detection and to check for accuracy. Slides were examined for 10–15 minutes at low magnification (400×) and then at least 100 fields were studied at high magnification (1000×).

DNA Sequencing
Bi-directional cycle sequencing was performed using the second primer set (Leuco Cyt F and Leuco Cyt R) for the Leucocytozoon spp. samples and the original primer set (L15183 and H15730) for the Plasmodium and Haemoproteus spp. samples. The positive PCR products were sequenced in an ABI Prism 3100 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Foster City, CA). For cases where there were multiple parasite infections, evident by double peaks on the chromatogram, the TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA) was used following manufacturer instructions to isolate parasite strains. Ninety seven percent of Plasmodium and Haemoproteus spp. positives and 79.5% of Leucocytozoon spp. positives were successfully sequenced. The remaining 20.5% of Leucocytozoon positives could not be sequenced due to illegible sequence profiles, unsuccessful cloning, or insufficient quantities of DNA template.

The sequences were deposited in GenBank with the following accession numbers EU627791-EU627845.

Analysis
All DNA sequences were edited using Sequencher 3.1 (GeneCodes, Ann Arbor, MI) and MacClade 3.0 and 4.03 PPC [96]. For distinguishing between Plasmodium and Haemoproteus spp., the sequences were compared to their closest sequence matches in GenBank using the NCBI nucleotide blast search and by confirmation through microscopy slides. Modeltest 3.7 [97] was used to identify the best model for each dataset; GTR+G was chosen for Leucocytozoon, Plasmodium, and Haemoproteus spp.

Phylogenetic relationships were constructed for Leucocytozoon, Plasmodium and Haemoproteus spp., parasites in PAUP* 4.0b10 [98]. A maximum likelihood heuristic search with 100 replicates for Leucocytozoon and 1000 replicates Plasmodium and Haemoproteus spp was performed with a TBR branch-swapping algorithm and a neighbor-joining tree used as the starting tree.

In order to summarize the results, the bootstrap values and genetic distances, estimated using the uncorrected ‘p’ distance setting, were added to the final maximum likelihood phylogenograms. Parsimony and neighbor-joining trees were computed for all parasites and all showed similar topographies as the maximum likelihood trees.

A Plasmodium parasite from an owl and Leucocytozoon toddi were chosen as the outgroups for the Leucocytozoon trees, and an owl Leucocytozoon was the designated outgroup for the Plasmodium/ Haemoproteus tree. These outgroups were chosen because Plasmodium and Leucocytozoon are sister taxa [35]. A Leucocytozoon toddi sequence (DQ177250) from GenBank was also placed in the Leucocytozoon phylogram to further resolve the backbone of the tree. Three additional sequences for the Plasmodium/Haemoproteus analyses were obtained from GenBank including: Haemoproteus sp. from a Great-horned Owl and Barred Owl (AF465389), Plasmodium sp. from a Singapore Brown Hawk Owl (AY099053), and Plasmodium elongatum from a Great Blue Heron (Aepyornis forsteri) (DQ539560).

Statistical comparisons of parasite prevalence among owl species were conducted as binomial comparative trials with results presented as Yates corrected Chi Square’s. A p value of 0.03 or less was considered significant. We also tested for Leucocytozoon lineage diversity among the four owl species with largest sample sizes (Barn Owl, Western Screech Owl, Spotted Owl, and Great-horned Owl) using EcoSim 7.0 that provides rarefaction estimates [99]. The program randomly sampled 25 lineages from each owl species for 1000 iterations to create a mean and variance of lineage diversity.
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